

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/570,551 | 05/10/2006 | Hans Petersen | 05432/1200028-US1 9953 | | |
| 7278 DARRY & DA | 7278 7590 05/21/2007 DARBY & DARBY P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| P. O. BOX 5257 | | | RAHMANI, NILOOFAR | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1625 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/21/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/570,551 | PETERSEN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| • | Niloofar Rahmani | 1625 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M | ay 2006. | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 37 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Neterlands Oited (170-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/570,551 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-37 are pending in the instant application.

2. Priority

This application is filed on 05/10/2006, which is a 371 of PCT/DK04/00579, filed on 09/01/2004, which claims benefit of 60/500,422, filed on 09/05/2003, which claims the priority DENMARK PA200301277, filed on 09/05/2003.

3. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15, 18, and 20-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-15, 18, and 20-36 are rejected because the term "THIP" is confusing. What is the formula of "THIP"? Correction is required.

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected because the term "mild" is confusing. How mild is reducing agent can be? Is it temperature mild or is it mild reacting? Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,551

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 11 and 31 are rejected because the term "≤ " is confusing.
 What is ≤ means? It is appears to be could you do it clearly?
 Correction is required.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 14 and 34 are rejected because the term "high purity" is confusing. How pure is how purity? Correction is required.
- 7. Claims 14 and 34 are rejected because the term "according to HPLC" is confusing. What is the difference between the test results for HPLC or GC or etc.? Correction is required.

8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15, 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification lacks enablement of the term "leaving group", which are claimed in the compound claims. While enabling for some leaving group for example Br of the instant specification, it is not seen where this is enables other types of leaving group. Therefore, the specification lacks

Art Unit: 1625

enablement for the term "leaving group" beyond the leaving group disclosed in the instant specification.

- 9. Claims 1-15, 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification lacks enablement of the term "mild reducing agent", which are claimed in the compound claims. While enabling for some mild reducing agent for example LiBH₄ of the instant specification, it is not seen where this is enables other types of mild reducing agent. Therefore, the specification lacks enablement for the term "mild reducing agent" beyond the mild reducing agent disclosed in the instant specification.
- 10. Claims 1-15, 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification lacks enablement of the term "nucleophile", which are claimed in the compound claims. While enabling for some nucleophile for example Br of the instant specification, it is not seen where this is enables other types of nucleophile. Therefore, the specification lacks enablement

Art Unit: 1625

for the term "nucleophile" beyond the nucleophile disclosed in the instant specification.

- 11. Claims 1-15, 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification lacks enablement of the term "alkylating agent", which are claimed in the compound claims. While enabling for some alkylating agent for example Mel of the instant specification, it is not seen where this is enables other types of alkylating agent. Therefore, the specification lacks enablement for the term "alkylating agent" beyond the alkylating agent disclosed in the instant specification.
- 12. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification lacks enablement of the term "dehydrating agent", which are claimed in the compound claims. While enabling for some dehydrating agent for example thionylchloride of the instant specification, it is not seen where this is enables other types of dehydrating agent. Therefore, the

Application/Control Number: 10/570,551

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

specification lacks enablement for the term "dehydrating agent" beyond the dehydrating agent disclosed in the instant specification.

13. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krogsgaard-Larsen et al., Acta Chemica Scandinavica B, 1977, Vol.31., pages 584-588. Krogsgaard-Larsen et al. disclosed the instant claimed compounds on claim 19 and method of preparing THIP using the compounds on the claim 19 on page 585, scheme 2. Therefore, the instant claim is anticipated by Krogsgaard-Larsen et al.

14. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perregaard et al., US 4,353,910. Perregaard et al. disclosed the instant claimed compound, which is from the STN search is

RN 83491-28-5

CN Isoxazolo[5,4-c]pyridine-6(2H)-carboxylic acid, 3,4,5,7-tetrahydro-3-oxo-,1,1-dimethylethyl ester

Therefore, the instant claim is anticipated by Perregaard et al.

Art Unit: 1625

15. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17 and 37 are patentable over Perregaard et al., US

4,353,910. The reference teaches as substituents of pyridine ring instead of the H in claim 1 and different substituted as claim 37 of the instant application. Therefore, the claims are free of prior art.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niloofar Rahmani whose telephone number is 571-272-4329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NILOOFAR RAHMANI

PRIMARY EXAMINER

05/10/2007

GROUP 1625

OIL